

1 KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP  
2 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325  
3 canderson@kvn.com  
4 DAVID SILBERT - # 173128  
5 dsilbert@keker.com  
6 EUGENE M. PAIGE - # 202849  
7 epaige@keker.com  
8 MATTHEW M. WERDEGAR - # 200470  
9 mwerdegard@keker.com  
10 PAVEN MALHOTRA - # 258429  
11 pmalhotra@keker.com  
12 633 Battery Street  
13 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809  
14 Telephone: 415 391 5400  
15 Facsimile: 415 397 7188

9 Attorneys for Petitioners  
10 FACEBOOK, INC. and INSTAGRAM LLC

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 FACEBOOK, INC. and INSTAGRAM LLC,

15 Petitioners,

16 v.

17 HOULIHAN LOKEY, INC.,

18 Respondent.

Misc. Case No. 3:21-mc-80192

[Underlying Action: *Voxer, Inc. and Voxer IP LLC v. Facebook, Inc. and Instagram LLC*, United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Case No. 1:20-cv-00655 [ADA]]

**MOTION TO SHORTEN BRIEFING  
TIME FOR RESPONDENT HOULIHAN  
LOKEY, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO  
PETITIONERS' MOTION TO COMPEL  
COMPLIANCE WITH SUBPOENA**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3, Petitioners Facebook, Inc, and Instagram LLC (hereinafter “Petitioners”), hereby move this Court for an order shortening Respondent Houlihan Lokey, Inc.’s time to oppose Petitioners’ concurrently filed Motion to Compel Compliance with Subpoena. Specifically, Petitioners request that Houlihan Lokey, Inc. be ordered to respond to Petitioners’ Motion to Compel by August 20, 2021, which is five (5) business days following the filing of Petitioners’ Motion to Compel. In support of their Motion, Petitioners attach the Declaration of Paven Malhotra (“Malhotra Decl.”) and state as follows:

1. The documents subject to Petitioners’ Motion to Compel concern, *inter alia*, the value of patents being asserted against Petitioners in ongoing litigation in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas in the matter *Voxer, Inc. and Voxer IP LLC v. Facebook, Inc. and Instagram LLC*, Case No. 1:20-cv-00655 (the “Texas Action”). Respondent Houlihan Lokey was hired by Voxer, Inc. (a plaintiff in the Texas action) to assist in entering into financial transactions involving the company and its intellectual property assets. In response to a document subpoena served by Petitioners, ***Houlihan Lokey redacted or withheld entirely 624 documents that concern its efforts to sell Voxer and/or its patents.*** The documents subject to Petitioners’ Motion to Compel are essential to Petitioners’ preparation of their rebuttal damages expert report in the Texas Action, which is currently due on September 16, 2021. Malhotra Decl. ¶¶ 3, 5.

2. Given the limited time remaining before rebuttal expert reports are due, Petitioners seek a shortened briefing schedule in order to resolve disputes over the privilege assertions Respondent is interposing in order to withhold portions of or all of hundreds of responsive documents. Petitioners also anticipate requiring a deposition of Respondent once the documents are produced.

3. Petitioners have worked diligently to obtain production of documents but were prejudiced by Respondents’ undue delay. Petitioners served their document and deposition subpoenas on Houlihan Lokey on June 3, 2021. ***Houlihan Lokey initially made no objections to Petitioners’ subpoena and represented that it would make its document production by July 1, 2021. However, no production was made on that date. Instead, on July 8, 2021 Houlihan Lokey***

1 *served, for the first time, untimely objections and then served documents productions on July*  
 2 *16 and on July 30, 2021. Id. ¶ 5.*

3 4. Given Respondents' belated objections, Respondents repeatedly requested  
 4 Houlihan Lokey serve a privilege log for any documents that it was withholding on privilege  
 5 grounds. *But Houlihan Lokey waited until July 30, 2021 to serve any privilege log.* The  
 6 privilege log served that day included 624 entries. *Id.*

7 5. In compliance with L.R. 37-1(a), Petitioners then sought promptly to meet and  
 8 confer concerning Houlihan Lokey's privilege assertions. That meet and confer occurred on  
 9 August 5, 2021 followed by subsequent discussion between counsel via email. Houlihan Lokey  
 10 refused to withdraw its privilege assertions. *Id. ¶ 6.*

11 6. Absent a shortened briefing schedule, the earliest Petitioners' underlying Motion  
 12 to Compel could be heard would be the first available hearing date after September 17, 2021,  
 13 which is after Petitioners' rebuttal damages report is due.

14 7. Petitioners requested that Houlihan Lokey stipulate to a shortened briefing  
 15 schedule, but Respondents never responded to this request. *Id. ¶ 8.*

16 8. For the foregoing reasons, Petitioners request that this Court grant their Motion for  
 17 an Order Shortening Briefing Time for Houlihan Lokey, Inc.'s opposition to Petitioners' Motion  
 18 to Compel Compliance with Subpoena. Respondent Houlihan Lokey, Inc. should be ordered to  
 19 respond by August 20, 2021.

20  
 21 Dated: August 13, 2021

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

22  
 23 By: /s/ Paven Malhotra

CHRISTA M. ANDERSON  
 DAVID SILBERT  
 EUGENE M. PAIGE  
 MATTHEW M. WERDEGAR  
 PAVEN MALHOTRA

26 Attorneys for Petitioners  
 27 FACEBOOK, INC. and INSTAGRAM  
 28 LLC